

Euclidean domains

In this section, all rings will be commutative.

If $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can find a greatest common divisor.

The idea is that we first write

$m = q_0 n + r_0$, where $0 \leq r_0 < n$. If $r_0 = 0$, we conclude that $n \mid m$.

Otherwise, we continue, and write

$$n = q_1 r_0 + r_1, \text{ w/ } 0 \leq r_1 < r_0.$$

$$r_0 = q_2 r_1 + r_2$$

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3, \dots$$

Continuing in this way, we eventually

$$\text{get } r_{i+1} = 0, \text{ so } r_{i-1} = q_{i+1} r_i.$$

↑
g.c.d.

e.g. if $r_3 = 0$, then $r_1 = q_3 r_2$, so $r_2 \mid r_0 \Rightarrow r_2 \mid n \Rightarrow r_2 \mid m$.

We can't use this algorithm in an arbitrary ring, because we don't have an ordering " $<$ ". However, we can put an ordering on some rings:

Def: Let R be an integral domain. A function $N: R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ with $N(0) = 0$ is called a norm on R . If $N(a) > 0$ for $a \neq 0$, N is a positive norm.

Ex: $N: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ defined $n \mapsto |n|$ is a norm.

Def: An integral domain R is a Euclidean domain if there is a norm N on R s.t. for any $a, b \in R$ w/ $b \neq 0 \exists q, r \in R$ with

$$a = qb + r, \quad w/ \quad r = 0 \text{ or } N(r) < N(b)$$

In an Euclidean domain, we thus have a Euclidean algorithm, since the norm of the remainder keeps decreasing. i.e. we eventually end up

with $r_{i-1} = q_{i+1} r_i$.

Ex: Fields are Euclidean domains, trivially. If $a, b \in F$, a field, and $b \neq 0$, then

$$a = (ab^{-1})b$$

i.e., the remainder is always 0. (Thus $\text{Field} \Rightarrow \text{Euc. domain} \Rightarrow \text{integral domain}$)

Ex: Of course, \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain, by above discussion.

Ex: If F is a field, let $N: F[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ be the norm

$N(f) = \text{degree of } f$. The division algorithm is just "long division" of polynomials. (We will later see that if F isn't a field, $F[x]$ is not a Euclidean domain).

Prop: If R is a Euclidean domain, then every ideal of R is principal. That is, if $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal, $I = (d)$, some $d \in R$.

Pf: If $I = 0$, we're done. Otherwise, let $d \in I$ be a nonzero element s.t. $N(d) \leq N(a) \forall$ nonzero $a \in I$.

Suppose $a \in I$. We can write $a = qd + r$, where $r = 0$ or $N(r) < N(d)$.

But $r = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{in } I}}{a} - q \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{in } I}}{d} \Rightarrow r \in I$. Thus, by minimality of $N(d)$, $r = 0$.

$\Rightarrow a = qd \Rightarrow a \in (d)$, so $I \subseteq (d)$. But $d \in I$, so $(d) = I$. \square

Ex: Recall that $(2, x) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not principal. Thus, $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not a Euclidean domain.

Ex: Consider $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. Define the norm N on $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ to be

$$N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$$

Note that this particular norm is multiplicative: $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$.

Consider the ideal $I = (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$. Suppose I is principal.

Then $I = (a + b\sqrt{-5})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $3 = \alpha(a + b\sqrt{-5})$, $1 + \sqrt{-5} = \beta(a + b\sqrt{-5})$, some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

Taking norms, we get $9 = N(\alpha)(a^2 + 5b^2)$, and $6 = N(\beta)(a^2 + 5b^2)$

Thus $a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$ or 3

$a^2 + 5b^2 = 3$ is impossible, since b would have to be 0 and 3 is not a square.

If $a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$, then $a = \pm 1$, $b = 0$, but then $I = R$. This means that

$$3\gamma + (1 + \sqrt{-5})\delta = 1, \text{ some } \gamma, \delta.$$

Multiplying both sides by $1 - \sqrt{-5}$, we get

$$\underbrace{3(1 - \sqrt{-5})\gamma + 6\delta}_{\text{divisible by 3}} = \underbrace{1 - \sqrt{-5}}_{\text{not divisible by 3}}, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Thus, I is not principal, so $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is not a Euclidean domain.

One nice thing about the Euclidean algorithm is that it produces a greatest common divisor (even for an arbitrary Euclidean domain). However, even for general rings, we can define what it means to be a greatest common divisor (though one may not exist):

Def: Let R be commutative, $a, b \in R$ with $b \neq 0$.

1.) a is a multiple of b if $\exists x \in R$ with $a = bx$. In this case, we say b divides a , written $b|a$.

2.) d is a greatest common divisor of a and b (denoted $\text{g.c.d.}(a, b)$) if

(i.) $d|a$ and $d|b$, and

(ii.) if $d' \mid a$ and $d' \mid b$, then $d' \mid d$.

Reinterpreting this in terms of ideals, we have

$$b \mid a \iff a \in (b) \iff (a) \subseteq (b) \text{ so}$$

d is a g.c.d. of a and b if

i.) $a, b \in (d)$, i.e. $(a, b) \subseteq (d)$

ii.) if $(a, b) \subseteq (d')$, then $(d) \subseteq (d')$.

i.e. (d) is the smallest principal ideal containing (a, b) . In particular, we get the following:

Prop: If $a, b \in R$ are nonzero s.t. $(a, b) = (d)$, then (d) is a greatest common divisor of a and b .

Note that the converse doesn't always hold: $(2, x) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not principal, but it's maximal, so 1 is a g.c.d., as is -1 , which leads to the question of uniqueness of g.c.d.s:

Prop: Let R be an integral domain. If $d, d' \in R$ s.t. $(d) = (d')$, then $d' = ud$ for some unit u in R . (In particular, g.c.d.s are unique up to multiplication by a unit.)

Pf: If $d = 0$, then $d' = 0$, so we're done. Otherwise, we have $d = ud'$ and $d' = vd = vud' \implies (1 - vu)d' = 0$.

Thus, since R is an integral domain, $1 = vu$, so v is a unit. \square

Note that not all pairs of elements in a ring have a g.c.d.:

Ex: Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. Then $(1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5}) = 6 = 2 \cdot 3$. Thus, $(2+2\sqrt{-5}, 6)$ is contained in both $(1+\sqrt{-5})$ and (2) .

$N(1+\sqrt{-5}) = 6$, $N(2) = 4$. So if $(\alpha) \subset (1+\sqrt{-5}) \cap (2)$, then $6 \mid N(\alpha)$ and $4 \mid N(\alpha)$, so $12 \mid N(\alpha)$.

If $(\underbrace{2+2\sqrt{-5}}_{\text{Norm}=24}, \underbrace{6}_{\text{Norm}=36}) \subseteq (\alpha)$, then $N(\alpha) \mid 24$ and $N(\alpha) \mid 36 \Rightarrow N(\alpha) = 12$.

Thus, $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-5}$ where $a^2 + 5b^2 = 12$, which can't happen.

Thus, $2+2\sqrt{-5}$ and 6 have no g.c.d.

An important property of Euclidean domains is that greatest common divisors exist and can be computed algorithmically:

Theorem: Let R be a Euclidean domain and $a, b \in R$ nonzero. Let $d = r_n$ be the last nonzero remainder in the Euclidean Algorithm for a and b . Then

1.) d is the greatest common divisor of a and b , and

2.) $(d) = (a, b)$. In particular, $d = xa + yb$, for some $x, y \in R$.

Pf: We know from an earlier prop that all ideals are principal. We thus just need to show $(d) = (a, b)$.

First, we'll show $(a, b) \subseteq (d)$. That is, we need to show $d|a$ and $d|b$.

Our Euclidean algorithm in this case is

$$a = q_0 b + r_0$$

$$b = q_1 r_0 + r_1$$

$$r_0 = q_2 r_1 + r_2$$

\vdots

$$r_{n-2} = q_n r_{n-1} + r_n$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1} \underbrace{r_n}_d$$

We prove by induction down to 0 that $r_n | r_i \forall i \leq n$.

Assume $r_n | r_i$ for $k \leq i \leq n$.

Then $r_{k-1} = \underbrace{q_{k+2} r_k}_{\text{div. by } r_n} + \underbrace{r_{k+1}}_{\text{div. by } r_n} \implies r_n | r_{k-1}$. Thus, $r_n | r_i \forall i$.

Thus, $r|b$, so $a = q_0 b + r_0$ is div. by r_n as well. Thus $(a, b) \subseteq (d)$.

Now we show $(d) \subseteq (a, b)$.

We know $r_0 \in (a, b)$. Assume $r_i \in (a, b)$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$r_{k-1} = q_{k+2} r_k + r_{k+1} \Rightarrow r_{k+1} = \underbrace{r_{k-1}}_{(a,b)} - q_{k+2} \underbrace{r_k}_{(a,b)} \in (a, b).$$

Thus, by induction $d = r_n \in (a, b)$. Thus $(d) = (a, b)$. \square

Ex: What is g.c.d. (702, 228)? By the Euclidean algorithm,

$$702 = 3 \cdot 228 + 18$$

$$228 = 12 \cdot 18 + 12$$

$$18 = 1 \cdot 12 + 6$$

$$12 = 2 \cdot 6$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } 6 &= 18 - 1 \cdot 12 \\ &= 18 - (228 - 12 \cdot 18) \\ &= 13 \cdot 18 - 228 \\ &= 13 \cdot (702 - 3 \cdot 228) - 228 \\ &= 13 \cdot 702 - 40 \cdot 228 \end{aligned}$$